

## Original Article

# Comparison of efficacy and safety of oral ivermectin with topical permethrin in treatment of scabies

Aisha Mushtaq, Khawar Khurshid, Sabrina Suhail Pal

Dermatology Department Unit II, Mayo Hospital, Lahore.

**Abstract** *Background* Scabies is an ectoparasitic, highly contagious skin disease caused by a mite called *Sarcoptes scabiei*. Topical permethrin and oral ivermectin are currently being used and considered to be safe and effective than the previously used agents.

*Objective* To compare the efficacy and safety of oral ivermectin with topical permethrin in treating scabies.

*Patients and methods* It was an interventional (quasi-experimental) study, conducted in out-patient clinic of Dermatology Department, Unit II, Mayo Hospital, Lahore. Hundred patients belonging to either sex and from 2 to 60 years of age were divided into two groups. Oral ivermectin was given to group A in a single dose of 200 µg/kg body weight. Group B was given single application of topical permethrin 5% cream at night on whole body for 12 hours. When there was no cure in two weeks, a 2<sup>nd</sup> treatment was given with either drug in their respective group. Investigations were carried out at presentation and at 2<sup>nd</sup> week while patients were followed up at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> weeks.

*Results* Permethrin showed marginal better efficacy (88.1%) in completely clearing scabietic lesions at fourth week of therapy as compared to ivermectin (79.5%), the difference was insignificant ( $p=0.15$ ). Seven patients in ivermectin group had side effects as headache, increase in itching and secondary bacterial infections as compared to permethrin group in which one patient had erythema ( $p<0.05$ ).

*Conclusion* Ivermectin is as effective as permethrin in the treatment of scabies when used in two doses over a period of 4 weeks.

**Key words**

Scabies, permethrin, ivermectin.

## Introduction

Scabies is a common ectoparasitic infection caused by a mite, *Sarcoptes scabiei* var. *hominis*. The arthropod causes an intensely pruritic and highly contagious skin infestation, which affects both sexes of all socioeconomic

status and ethnic groups.<sup>1,2</sup> The prominent features include intense itching, burrows and widespread eruption of inflammatory papules. Itching is generally worse at night when the patient is warm.<sup>2</sup>

Despite its long existence, an effective way to prevent scabies from spreading is still not known. Various treatment options include the use of topical agents like sulphur, benzyl benzoate, malathion, crotamiton, monosulfiram, and lindane.<sup>3,4</sup>

---

**Address for correspondence**

Dr. Aisha Mushtaq  
Department of Dermatology, Unit II,  
King Edward Medical University/  
Mayo Hospital, Lahore  
E mail: draishamohsin29@yahoo.com

Topical permethrin is used nowadays for being safer and more effective than the previously used agents.<sup>5,6</sup>

Ivermectin is a new drug structurally similar to macrolide antibiotics. Since its discovery in 1975, it has been approved for the treatment of strongyloidiasis and onchocerciasis. It is currently also being used for the treatment of scabies. The effective dose is 150-200 µg/kg body weight once or may be repeated at two weeks interval. The advantages include single dose and better compliance in refractory infestations and in circumstances where head to toe topical application is logistically difficult e.g. in large institutional outbreaks or mentally retarded patients.<sup>7-13</sup> Reported side effects include fever, arthralgia, myalgia, dizziness, headache, hypotension, tachycardia, and lymphadenopathy. Prolonged prothrombin time, transient ECG and liver enzyme changes have also been observed.<sup>8</sup>

In the present study we compared the efficacy and safety of oral ivermectin with topical permethrin in the treatment of scabies.

## **Patients and methods**

### *Patient selection*

It was an interventional (quasi-experimental) study carried out in outpatient section of Dermatology Department, Unit II, Mayo Hospital, Lahore. Total duration of study was six months. The study included 100 patients presenting with scabies belonging to either sex between two and sixty years of age. Immunocompromised patients, pregnant and lactating females, patients having bacterial, fungal or viral infections of skin, patients receiving any treatment for systemic disorders or patients who received treatment of scabies in last four weeks were excluded from study.

A preformed pro forma was completed for all patients. An informed consent was obtained from the patients or parents in case of children.

All patients diagnosed of having scabies on history and examination were divided by using random number table into group A and group B. Scraping for mite was performed in cases of doubt.

Oral ivermectin was given to group A in a single dose of 200 µg/kg body weight. Group B was given single application of topical permethrin 5% cream at night on whole body for 12 hours. Those patients not responding to first treatment were given second dose at 2<sup>nd</sup> week in their respective groups.

### *Evaluation*

Examination for burrows and papules was performed on 2<sup>nd</sup> week and 4<sup>th</sup> week of follow up. Scraping for mite and investigations like total leukocyte count, eosinophils, alanine aminotransferase and prothrombin time were carried out at baseline and 2<sup>nd</sup> week of follow up, as these changes are transient and their levels return to normal beyond two weeks. In patients where indicated these investigations were also performed at 4<sup>th</sup> week. Patients were considered as having mild (lesion count <10), moderate (lesion count of 11-50) and severe (lesions >50). Pruritus was graded as mild, moderate and severe on the basis of sleep disturbance.

The efficacy was ascertained by the disappearance of itching, clearance of skin lesions and absence of mites on microscopy of skin lesions. The safety was determined by the absence of headache, arthralgia, myalgia, hypotension, tachycardia, lymphadenopathy and normal investigations for oral ivermectin; and erythema, stinging and burning for topical permethrin. Those patients not responding to

first treatment were given second dose at 2<sup>nd</sup> week in their respective groups. Photographs were taken at the beginning and at 4<sup>th</sup> week.

## Results

Of 100 patients, 44 patients in group A (ivermectin) and 42 patients in group B (permethrin) completed the study while 14 patients were lost to follow up. Age, sex distribution, family history and disease characteristics in two groups are shown in **Table 1**.

Two weeks after the first dose of respective treatments, 24 (54.5%) subjects in the ivermectin group and 20 (47.6%) subjects in topical permethrin group were cured of disease,  $p=0.5$ .

All patients with persistent lesions were given a second dose of both treatments at week 2. Two weeks after the second treatment, greater number of subjects had persistent lesions in the ivermectin group compared with subjects in the permethrin group. Similarly, greater percentage of patients in ivermectin group still complained of nocturnal pruritus at fourth week of treatment, as compared to only one patient in the permethrin group though the difference was insignificant (**Table 1**). At fourth week of follow-up, 35 (79.5%) patients in ivermectin group and 37 (88.1%) patients in permethrin group were cured of scabies,  $p=0.157$ .

Regarding the safety, only one patient in permethrin group had erythema and burning on second day of treatment whereas 4 (9.1%) patients in ivermectin group suffered from severe itching, 3 (6.8%) had secondary bacterial infections, while 1 patient (2.3%) complained of headache. Difference of side effects between two groups was statistically significant ( $p=0.05$ ).

## Discussion

In our patients we found that oral ivermectin is as effective as topical permethrin when used in two doses over a period of 4 weeks. These results are consistent with the international study by Usha *et al.*<sup>11</sup> There are minor variations when we compare the two studies. In our study, more subjects in the ivermectin group (54.5% vs. 47.6%) were cured of lesions by the second week of therapy, but the results were insignificant, while in the study by Usha *et al.*<sup>11</sup> first dose of permethrin was more effective in clearing lesions than ivermectin. One reason to account for this discrepancy could be that more patients in the ivermectin group had moderate and severe lesions as compared to permethrin group, although patients were divided into two groups using random number table. It may be assumed that increased efficacy of ivermectin is due to its effect on only adult stages of the mite, thereby improving severe scabies more than permethrin. It acts by causing excessive release of neurotransmitter  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) in the peripheral nervous system of the parasite resulting in its death. Also, more patients in the permethrin group with mild to moderate scabies had clearance of lesions as compared to ivermectin, where improvement was seen more in patients with severe scabies.

The data from the 4<sup>th</sup> week showed that permethrin continued to decrease both the lesions and the degree of pruritus as compared to ivermectin. In this last follow-up, patients in ivermectin group showed less response regarding symptoms (itching) and signs (papules). This could be because of permethrin action on all stages (ova, larvae and adults) of mite and because of its action on the voltage-sensitive sodium channel of the parasite, which is necessary for the generation of action potentials in excitable cells, thus causing the

**Table 1** Characteristics of patients at baseline, 1<sup>st</sup> week and 2<sup>nd</sup> week.

	Group A (Ivermectin)			Group B (Permethrin)		
	n (%)			n (%)		
<i>Sex distribution</i>						
Female	20 (45.5)			24 (54.5)		
Male	22 (52.4)			20 (47.6)		
<i>Family History</i>						
Present	42 (95.5)			38 (90.5)		
Absent	2 (4.5)			4 (9.5)		
<i>Lesions at presentation</i>						
No lesion	1 <sup>st</sup> week	2 <sup>nd</sup> week	4 <sup>th</sup> week	1 <sup>st</sup> week	2 <sup>nd</sup> week	4 <sup>th</sup> week
Mild	0	24 (54.5)	11 (25)	0	20 (47.6)	17 (40.5)
Moderate	0	10 (22.7)	9 (20.5)	1 (2.4)	17 (40.5)	5 (11.9)
Severe	20 (45.5)	8 (18.2)	0	28 (66.7)	5 (11.9)	0
<i>Nocturnal pruritus</i>						
Mild	24 (55.5)	2 (4.5)	0	13 (31)	0	0
Moderate	1 <sup>st</sup> week	2 <sup>nd</sup> week		1 <sup>st</sup> week	2 <sup>nd</sup> week	
Severe	0	9 (20.5)	3 (6.8)	0	11 (26.2)	1 (2.4)
	16 (36)	16 (36.4)	0	18 (43)	7 (16.7)	0
	28 (64)	1 (2.3)	0	24 (57)	0	0
<i>Scraping for mite</i>						
Not applicable	1 <sup>st</sup> week	2 <sup>nd</sup> week		1 <sup>st</sup> week	2 <sup>nd</sup> week	
Positive	37 (84.1)	38 (86.4)	44 (100)	33 (78.6)	33 (78.6)	42 (100)
Negative	4 (9.1)	0	0	8 (19)	0	0
	3 (6.8)	6 (13.6)	0	1 (2.4)	9 (21.4)	0

paralysis of mite and leading to its death.<sup>12-15</sup>

Since prior dose of permethrin killed most of the mites, the improvement in pruritus can be due to decrease in the egg laying stages of the mite. Ivermectin, though very effective on the adult stages of the mite, is not ovicidal, thus explaining the comparatively decreasing efficacy in reducing the lesions and pruritus with the second dose of the drug.

Another possible explanation for relatively less efficacy of ivermectin is that being a lipophilic drug, it is secreted in higher concentration in sebum.<sup>16,17</sup> Areas where activity and number of sebaceous glands is less such as web spaces, palms and soles, sides of fingers may not be benefited by systemic ivermectin as compared to the topical permethrin.

In the study carried out by Usha *et al.*<sup>11</sup> higher number of patients showed clearance of lesions as compared to our results. This could be explained due to the longer follow up of their

patients (eight weeks) as compared to 4 weeks in our study.

In the study carried out by Khan and Yasmeen,<sup>16</sup> 100% cure was seen in both treatment groups possibly because study was carried on smaller number of patients with follow up of 2 weeks and ages were 12 years or above, when the activity of sebaceous glands is more. Also permethrin was applied twice with interval of one week whereas in our study it was applied once for 8-12 hours.

Regarding side effects, permethrin was found to be significantly more safe than ivermectin ( $p=0.05$ ). Only one patient had mild superficial burning as compared to 7 patients in ivermectin group who had secondary bacterial infection, headache and increase in pruritus.

Ivermectin has been reported to cause serious side effects. It was found that such effects were seen when higher doses of the drug were accidentally ingested by the patients.<sup>8</sup> We have found it to be quite safe in our cases.

Bacterial infection seen in 3 of our patients has not been reported before. Though the number in which we observed this side effect was not significant but further studies on a larger number of patients may clarify the situation. More studies are needed with larger patient population at community level to assess the effects of ivermectin and permethrin separately in patients for better control of this communicable disease.

Although ivermectin was as effective as permethrin, it has few outweighing advantages over the topical permethrin. It is cost-effective and as treatment can be given to masses with better compliance with or without supervision. It can also be given safely in patients of scabies with secondary eczematization, erosions or ulcers where topical therapies such as permethrin, lindane and benzyl benzoate can cause serious cutaneous and systemic side effects in addition to the problem of compliance.

## References

1. Binder WD, Sciammarella J, Scabies [Online] 2006 [cited 2008 Dec 12]; Available from: URL: <http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/785873>
2. Burrs DA. Diseases caused by arthropods and other noxious animals. In: Burns T, Breathnach S, Cox N, Griffiths C, editors. *Rook's Textbook of Dermatology*. Blackwell Science; 2004. P. 39-42.
3. Orkin M, Maibach HI. Scabies and pediculosis. In: Freedberg ZIM, Eisen AZ, Wolff K *et al.*, eds. *Fitzpatrick's Dermatology in General Medicine*, 5<sup>th</sup> edn. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1999. P. 2677-84.
4. Walker GJA, Johnstone PW. Interventions for treating scabies (Cochrane review). 2002; 4. Available from: <http://www.update-software.com/abstracts/ab000320.htm>.)
5. Buffet M, Dupin N. Current treatments for scabies. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 2003; **17**: 217-25.
6. Roos TC, Alam M, Roos S *et al.* Pharmacotherapy of ectoparasitic infections. *Drugs* 2001; **61**: 1067-88.
7. Del Guidice P. Ivermectin in scabies. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2002; **15**: 123-6.
8. Scabies [online] 2007 [cited 2008 May 14]. Available from; URL: <http://www.stanford.edu/class/humbio103/P araSites2004/Scabies/Scabies20Home.htm#I ntroduction>.
9. Paasch U, Haustein UF. Management of endemic outbreaks of scabies with allethrin, permethrin, and ivermectin. *Int J Dermatol* 2000; **39**: 463-70.
10. Santoro AF, Rezac MA, Lee JB. Current trend in ivermectin usage for scabies. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2003; **2**: 397-401.
11. Usha V, Gopalakrishnan-Nair TV. A Comparative study of oral ivermectin and topical permethrin creams in treatment of scabies. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2000; **42**: 236-40.
12. Shan Q, Haddrill JL, Lynch JW. Ivermectin, an unconventional agonist of the glycine receptor chloride channel. *J Biol Chem* 2001; **276**: 556-64.
13. Akhtar SJ, Maan MA, Iqbal J, Kapadia N. Treatment of scabies simplified. *J Pak Assoc Dermatol* 2007; **17**: 240-9.
14. Temple WA, Smith NA. Ivermectin [Online] 2003 [cited 2007 Sept 02]. Available from; <http://www.inchem.org/documents/pims/pharm/ivermect.htm>.
15. Elgart GW, Meinking TL. Ivermectin. *Dermatol Clin* 2003; **21**: 277-82.
16. Khan I, Yasmin R. Ivermectin in the treatment of scabies. *J Pak Assoc Dermatol* 2007; **17**: 78-83.
17. Haas N, Lindemann U, Frank K *et al.* Rapid and preferential sebum secretion of ivermectin: a new factor that may determine drug responsiveness in patients with scabies. *Arch Dermatol* 2002; **138**: 1618-9.
18. Teichmann A, Martschick A, Jacobi U *et al.* Drug delivery of systemically applied substances into the skin: the hypothesis of inverse penetration. [Online] 2004 [cited on Dec 2008]; Available from: [http://www.aapsj.org/abstracts/AM\\_2006/APS2006-001433.pdf](http://www.aapsj.org/abstracts/AM_2006/APS2006-001433.pdf)