

A clinicoepidemiological study on ichthyosis in a district hospital

Neerja Puri

Punjab Health Systems Corporation, India.

Abstract *Objective* To study the demography and clinical spectrum of ichthyosis in children below twelve years of age.

Methods A prospective randomized hospital based study was conducted between June 2013 and June 2015 in a district hospital in North India. The children were thoroughly examined and routine investigations were carried out.

Results In two years duration, 2523 children coming to the dermatology OPD were screened for ichthyosis.

Conclusion There were 21 patients (70%) of ichthyosis vulgaris, 3 patients(10%) of X linked recessive ichthyosis, 2 patients (6.66%) of lamellar ichthyosis, 2 patients (6.66%) of non bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma, 1 patient (3.33%) of bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma and 1 patient (3.33%) of Netherton syndrome. Palmoplantar keratoderma was seen in 5 patients(16.66%), impairment of sweating was seen in 6 patients (20%), keratosis pilaris was seen in 3 patients (10%), atopic diathesis was seen in 3 patients (10%), colloidon membrane was seen in 2 patients (6.66%) and eye involvement in the form of corneal opacity was seen in 1 patient (3.33%).

Key words

Ichthyosis; Syndrome; Lamellar erythroderma; Palmoplantar; Non bullous; Colloidon.

Introduction

Ichthyosis is a disorder of cornification. The word ichthyosis is derived from Greek word 'ichthys' which means fish. There are various types of ichthyosis including ichthyosis vulgaris, X linked recessive ichthyosis, lamellar ichthyosis and various ichthyosiform syndromes like bullous ichthyosiform syndrome and non bullous ichthyosiform syndrome.^{1,2} Ichthyosis can be hereditary or acquired. Acquired ichthyosis is rare and it usually appears in adulthood. The commonest type of ichthyosis is ichthyosis

vulgaris and it is autosomal dominant. Here scaling is mostly present on the extensor surface of extremities and is absent on the flexor surfaces. X linked recessive ichthyosis involves mainly flexures.³ In lamellar ichthyosis, the skin is covered with large, thick and dark plate like scales. In congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma, the scales are finer and white in colour as compared to lamellar ichthyosis, but erythroderma is present.⁴ The severest form of ichthyosis is harlequin ichthyosis in which the neonate has a shell of plate like scales with contraction abnormalities like eyes, ears and mouth.

Methods

A prospective randomized hospital based study was conducted between June 2013 and June

Address for correspondence

Dr. Neerja Puri

84, Officers Colony,

Ferozepur, Punjab, India.

Ph: 00919814616427

Email: neerjaashu@rediffmail.com

2015 in a district hospital in North India. The children were thoroughly examined clinically including examination of hair, nails and palms and soles. Routine investigations were carried out. The specialized investigations like skin biopsy were carried out as and where required. Prior permission of hospital ethical committee was taken for the study. A written informed consent was taken from the parents of the children before the study

Results

The results were collected, tabulated and the results were analyzed statistically using chi square test.

Discussion

In two years duration, 2523 children coming to the dermatology OPD were screened for ichthyosis and its variants and syndromes and thirty four children were selected. Out of these four children, whose parents refused to be photographed and were not willing to sign the consent form were excluded from our study. Regarding the age distribution (**Table 1**) of patients, 13 patients (43.33%) were below 5 years of age, 12 patients (40%) were between 6-9 years of age and 5 patients (16.66%) were between 10-12 years of age. Our study is in accordance with a study on Ghosh *et al.* in which maximum number of patients presented in

the age group of 0-10 years (39; 36.8%).³ There were 22 males and 8 females and male:female was 2.75:1. Most of the patients in our study were males as most of the patients were from poor socioeconomic strata, where female children are usually ignored and no treatment is sought for them.

Regarding the clinical types (**Table 2**), out of total 30 children, there were 21 patients (70%) of ichthyosis vulgaris (**Figure 1**), 3 patients (10%) of X linked recessive ichthyosis, 2 patients

Table 1 Age distribution of patients

Sr. no.	Age distribution	No of patients(%)
1	0 – 5 years	13 (43.33%)
2	6 – 9 years	12 (40%)
3	10 – 12 years	5 (16.66%)

Table 2 Clinical variants of ichthyosis

Sr. no.	Clinical type	No of patients(%)
1	Ichthyosis vulgaris	21 (70%)
2	X linked recessive ichthyosis	3 (10%)
3	lamellar ichthyosis	2 (6.66%)
4	Non bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma	2 (6.66%)
5	Bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma	1 (3.33%)
6	Netherton syndrome	1 (3.33%)

(6.66%) of lamellar ichthyosis, 2 patients (6.66%) of non bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma (**Figure 2**), 1 patient (3.33%) of bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma (**Figure 3**) and 1 patient (3.33%) of Netherton syndrome.



Figure 1 Ichthyosis vulgaris in a 11 years old male child.



Figure 2 Eight months old male child with Non Bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma.



Figure 3 Ten months old male child with Bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma.



Figure 4 Palmoplantar keratoderma in 12 years old child with ichthyosis vulgaris.

Table 3 Clinical spectrum of ichthyosis.

Sr. no.	Clinical spectrum	No of patients(%)
1	Palmoplantar keratoderma	5 (16.66%)
2	Impairment of sweating	6 (20%)
3	Keratosis Pilaris	3 (10%)
4	Atopic diathesis	3 (10%)
5	Colloidon membrane	2 (6.66%)
6	Eye involvement	1 (3.33%)

So, ichthyosis vulgaris was the commonest clinical type seen in our study. Family history of ichthyosis was positive in 6 patients (20%). Consanguinity was present in 5 patients (16.66%). In a study by Sivaya devi *et al*; out of 64 patients with congenital ichthyosis, ichthyosis vulgaris constituted 72% of cases followed by lamellar ichthyosis 14%. Non-bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma (NBIE) constituted 6% followed by bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma (BIE).⁵ So, our results were comparative with other studies.

So, ichthyosis vulgaris was the commonest clinical type seen in our study. There is mutations in protein filaggrin in these patients.⁶ Ichthyosis vulgaris is also known as retention hyperkeratosis. The prognosis of hereditary ichthyosis is excellent with many patients experiencing clinical improvement with age.⁷⁻⁹ In our study, all the cases were of hereditary ichthyosis vulgaris. It is typically absent at birth

and appears in most of the patients during the first year of life. Acquired ichthyosis vulgaris is rare. In most of our patients of ichthyosis vulgaris, the symptoms improved during the summer months.

About the clinical spectrum (**Table 3**) of ichthyosis, palmoplantar keratoderma (**Figure 4**) was seen in 5 patients (16.66%), impairment of sweating was seen in 6 patients (20%), keratosis pilaris was seen in 3 patients (10%), atopic diathesis was seen in 3 patients (10%), colloid on membrane was seen in 2 patients (6.66%) and eye involvement in the form of corneal opacity was seen in 1 patient (3.33%).

Histopathology of ichthyosis vulgaris was done in some children who were willing for it. It showed compact hyperkeratosis in stratum corneum in most of the biopsies.¹⁰ Follicular plugging was seen in those patients in which there was associated follicular plugging. In most of the patients, the granular layer was absent or one layer thick. Dermal infiltration was absent in most of the patients.

Conclusion

This study is important to look into the insights of the children with ichthyosis. Since inheritance plays a very important role in these conditions, the parents of the affected children need proper genetic counseling especially in cases of children born out of consanguineous marriage.

References

1. Oji V, Traupe H. Ichthyosis: clinical manifestations and practical treatment options. *Am J Clin Dermatol.* 2009;**10**:351-64.
2. Al-Zayir AA, Al-Amro Al-Alakloby OM: Clinico-epidemiological features of primary hereditary ichthyoses in the eastern province of Saudi Arabia. *Int J Dermatol.* 2006;**45**:257-64.

3. Ghosh A, Ahar R, Chatterjee G, Sharma N, Jadhav SA. Clinico-epidemiological Study of Congenital Ichthyosis in a Tertiary Care Center of Eastern India. *Indian J Dermatol*. 2017;**62(6)**:606–11. doi:10.4103/ijd.IJD_411_17
4. Kurosawa M, Takagi A, Tamakoshi A, Kawamura T, Inaba Y, Yokoyama K *et al*. Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of bullous ichthyosisform erythroderma (keratinolytic ichthyosis) in Japan: results from a nationwide survey. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2013;**68**:278-83.
5. Sivayadevi P, Karthikeyan R, Anandan H. Congenital Ichthyoses in Pediatric Age Group: A Prospective Study. *Int J Sci Stud*. 2017;**4(12)**:143-5.
6. Okano M, Kitano Y, Yoshikawa K, Nakamura T, Matsuzawa Y, Yuasa T, *et al*. X-linked ichthyosis and ichthyosis vulgaris: Comparison of their clinical features based on biochemical analysis. *Br J Dermatol*. 1988;**119**:777–83.
7. Wells RS, Kerr CB. Clinical features of autosomal dominant and sex-linked ichthyosis in an English population. *Br Med J*. 1966;**1**:947-50.
8. DiGiovanna JJ, Robinson-Bostom L. Ichthyosis. Etiology, diagnosis and management. *Am J Clin Dermatol*. 2003;**4**: 81–95.
9. Bousema M, van Diggelen O, van Joost T, Stolz E, Naafs F. Ichthyosis: reliability of clinical signs in the differentiation between autosomal dominant and sex-linked forms. *Int J Dermatol*. 1989;**28**:240–242.
10. Oji V, Traupe H. Ichthyosis: differential diagnosis and molecular genetics. *Eur J Dermatol*. 2006;**16**:349–359.